

Ohio Pharmacy Residency Conference Presentation Evaluation Form

Presentation Title: _____

Speaker: _____ **Institution:** _____

		<u>Grading Rubric</u>				
		1: Not Accomplished	2: Needs Development	3: Acceptable	4: Mostly Accomplished	5: Accomplished
Speaker Evaluation		Points earned			Comments	
The speaker maintained appropriate eye contact throughout the presentation.		1 2 3 4 5				
The presenter spoke in a strong voice at an appropriate pace throughout the presentation.		1 2 3 4 5				
The slides were visually appealing, easy to read, and contained no spelling errors.		1 2 3 4 5				
The speaker was clearly knowledgeable on the topic presented.		1 2 3 4 5				
The speaker actively engaged the audience in this learning activity.		1 2 3 4 5				
The speaker adequately answered questions and provided clarification when necessary.		1 2 3 4 5				
It was evident that the resident had direct and substantial involvement in the project/study.		1 2 3 4 5				
The presentation was unbiased and provided a fair balance of information. Specific products were referred to by a generic or chemical name and the speaker did not appear to be promoting a product or company.		1 2 3 4 5				
Quality of Presentation		Points earned			Comments	
The program was organized and presented in a logical fashion.		1 2 3 4 5				
The knowledge gained at this program will be applicable to my daily practice.		1 2 3 4 5				
The program met my expectations based on the learned objectives.		1 2 3 4 5				
Overall, I gained new information and knowledge on the subject presented.		1 2 3 4 5				
The program subject and degree of detail were appropriate for the audience.		1 2 3 4 5				
The program subject and degree of detail were appropriate for the time allotted.		1 2 3 4 5				
Presentation Content		Points earned			Comments	
The presentation topic was current and relevant to the practice of pharmacy.		1 2 3 4 5				
Specific and measurable learning objectives were stated.		1 2 3 4 5				
The purpose of the study/project was clearly stated.		1 2 3 4 5				
Study/project methods were clearly stated and appropriately applied to the given topic.		1 2 3 4 5				
Results (or preliminary results) were provided and discussed in sufficient detail.		1 2 3 4 5				
Stated conclusions were appropriate given the design, results, and current practice standards.		1 2 3 4 5				
Time frame was appropriate (18 - 22 minutes).						

Reviewer's Name: _____ **Reviewer's Specialty:** _____

Session Code: _____

Please return to the speaker